## REVIEW

## of the official reviewer for dissertation work of

Tursumbayeva Madina Orazgazievna on the theme «Effect of meteorological parameters on air quality in large cities of Kazakhstan», submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in the Educational Program «8D050204 - Meteorology».

№	Criteria	Eligibility (one of the options must be checked)	Justification of the position of the official reviewer
1.	The topic of the thesis (as of the	1.1 Compliance with priority areas of science development or government programs:	
	date of its approval) corresponds to the directions of development of science and/or state programs	1) The thesis was completed within the framework of a project or target program financed from the state budget (indicate the name and number of the project or program)  2) The thesis was completed within the framework of another state program (indicate the name of the program)  3) The dissertation corresponds to the priority direction of the development of science, approved by the Higher Scientific and Technical Commission under the Government of the Republic of Kazakhstan (indicate the direction)	1) Yes. The work was carried out within target program financed by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan BR10965258 "Development of a research program to improve air quality in Nur Sultan and Almaty using state-of-the-art analytical methods and modeling tools", 2021-2023, and AP09260359 "Comprehensive assessment of air pollution in Almaty: source-identification, spatiotemporal assessment", 2021-2023
2.	Importance for science	The work <u>makes</u> /does not make a significant contribution to science, and its importance is well disclosed/not disclosed	The work makes a significant contribution to science, and its importance is well-disclosed.
3.	The principle of independence	Self-reliance level:  1) High; 2) Medium; 3) Low; 4) No independence	1) High, based on the authorship statements in every publication. She is also the first author on two publications published in the following journals: Atmospheric

			Environment (2023) and Aerosol and
			Air Quality Research (2022).
4.	The principle of	4.1 Justification of the relevance of the thesis:	1) Justified. The work provides a
	inner unity	1) Justified;	comprehensive study of PM <sub>2.5</sub>
		2) Partially justified;	pollution in Kazakhstan and Central
		3) Not justified.	Asia and investigates the effect of
			meteorological factors on air
			pollution.
		4.2 The content of the thesis reflects the topic of the thesis:	1) Yes, the content of each article
		1) Reflects;	reflects the topic of the dissertation.
		2) Partially reflects;	
		3) Does not reflect	
		4.3. The purpose and objectives correspond to the topic of the thesis:	1) Correspond.
		1) correspond;	
		2) partially correspond;	
		3) do not correspond	
		4.4 All sections and provisions of the thesis are logically interconnected:	1) The series of articles are
		1) completely interconnected;	completely interconnected.
		2) the interconnection is partial;	
		3) there is no interconnection	
		4.5 The new solutions (principles, methods) proposed by the author are reasoned	1) There is a critical analysis of the
		and evaluated in comparison with the known solutions:	new solutions and methods proposed
		1) there is a critical analysis;	by the author in the literature review
		2) partial analysis;	in each article.
		3) the analysis does not represent one's own opinions, but quotes from other	
5.	G : 4:C: 14	authors	2) 771 ' ('C' 1, 1
3.	Scientific novelty	5.1 Are the scientific results and provisions new?	2) The scientific results and
	principle	1) completely new;	provisions are novel.
		2) partially new (25-75% are new); 3) not new (less than 25% are new)	
			2) Posticilly navy
		5.2 Are the dissertation findings new?	2) Partially new.
		1) completely new; 2) partially new (25-75% are new);	
		<u>2) partiany new (23-73% are new);</u>	

	3) not new (less than 25% are new)	1
	5.3 Technical, technological, economic or management decisions are new and reasonable:  1) completely new;  2) partially new (25-75% are new);  3) not new (less than 25% are new)	2) Most of technical, technological, economic or management decisions used in the dissertation are new.
6. The validity of the main findings	All main conclusions are/are not based on scientifically significant evidence or well-grounded (for qualitative research and areas of training in the arts and humanities)	All main conclusions in the dissertation are based on scientifically significant evidence or well-grounded. The conclusions are obtained from adequate methods.
provisions for the defense	It is necessary to answer the following questions for each provision separately: 7.1 Is the provision proven? 1) proven; 2) rather proven; 3) rather not proven; 4) not proven 7.2 Is it trivial? 1) yes; 2) no 7.3 Is it new? 1) yes; 2) no 7.4 Application level: 1) narrow; 2) medium; 3) wide 7.5 Is it proven in the article? 1) yes; 2) no It is necessary to answer the following questions for each provision separately: 7.1 Is the provision proven? 1) proven;	7.1 The <b>1st provision</b> provided in the dissertation, PM <sub>2.5</sub> levels in Central Asia cities exceeded the WHO annual limit by 4.3–12.6 times. An outdated approach is used for emissions inventory in the studied cities. The verification of the provision was well organized using reliable source of data and overview of the current methodology. The provision was proven in the article published in Atmospheric Environment (2023). 7.2 The provision is not trivial 7.3 It is new 7.4 It has wide application level. 7.5 Yes.

2) rather proven;	on PM <sub>2.5</sub> levels in major cities of
3) rather not proven;	Kazakhstan and Central Asia. The
4) not proven	verification of the provision was well
7.2 Is it trivial?	organized using several sources of
1) yes;	data (Kazhydromet and US embassy
<u>2) no</u>	monitoring stations). The provision
7.3 Is it new?	was proven in the article published in
<u>1) yes;</u>	Atmospheric Environment (2023)
2) no	and Environmental Processes (2022).
7.4 Application level:	7.2 The provision is not trivial
1) narrow;	7.3 It is new
2) medium;	7.4 It has wide application level.
3) wide	7.5 Yes.
7.5 Is it proven in the article?	
1) yes;	
2) no	
It is necessary to answer the following questions for each provision separately:	7.1 The <b>3rd provision</b> provided in
7.1 Is the provision proven?	the dissertation, slow-moving air
1) proven;	masses are typical for high PM <sub>2.5</sub>
2) rather proven;	episodes in Almaty (67%), while
3) rather not proven;	Astana may be affected by
4) not proven	neighboring industrial cities.
7.2 Is it trivial?	The verification of the provision was
1) yes;	well organized using backward
2) no	trajectory analysis with HYSPLIT
$\overline{7.3}$ Is it new?	model. The provision was proven in
1) yes;	the article published in Atmospheric
2) no	Environment (2023) and Urban
7.4 Application level:	Climate (2023).
1) narrow;	7.2 The provision is not trivial
2) medium;	7.3 It is new
3) wide	7.4 It has wide application level.
7.5 Is it proven in the article?	7.5 Yes.
 The maintiffication was an area.	

		<u>1) yes;</u>	
		2) no	
		It is necessary to answer the following questions for each provision separately:	7.1 The <b>4th provision</b> provided in
		7.1 Is the provision proven?	the dissertation, daily averaged PM <sub>2.5</sub>
		<u>1) proven;</u>	concentrations negatively correlated
		2) rather proven;	with planetary boundary layer height
		3) rather not proven;	(PBLH), wind speed, and ventilation
		4) not proven	coefficient. The verification of the
		7.2 Is it trivial?	provision was well organized using
		1) yes;	ERA5 reanalysis data and sounding
		2) no	data. The provision was proven in
		$\frac{27.30}{7.3 \text{ Is it new?}}$	the article published in Atmospheric
		1) yes;	Environment (2023) and Aerosol and
		2) no	Air Quality Research (2022).
		7.4 Application level:	7.2 The provision is not trivial
		1) narrow;	7.3 It is new
		2) medium;	7.4 It has wide application level.
		3) wide	7.5 Yes.
		7.5 Is it proven in the article?	7.5 Tes.
		<u> </u>	
		1) yes;	
8.	TC1 1 C	2) no	1) A11 (1 1 1 4
8.	The principle of	8.1 Choice of methodology - is justified or the methodology is described in	1) All methodology sections
	reliability	sufficient detail	(equations, data etc.) were described
	Reliability of	<u>1) yes;</u>	in detail in each article.
	sources and	2) no	
	information	8.2 The results of the thesis were obtained using modern methods of scientific	1) Yes, the results were obtained
	provided	research and methods of processing and interpreting data using computer	using modern methods of scientific
		technologies:	research (HYSPLIT modelling,
		1) yes;	Potential increase method, bulk
		2) no	Richardson number method,
		(2) IIU	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
			reanalysis data etc.) and methods of
			processing and interpreting data
			using computer technologies (python

			libraries).
		8.3 Theoretical conclusions, models, identified relationships and patterns have	1) Yes, theoretical conclusions,
		been proven and confirmed by experimental research (for areas of training in	models, identified relationships and
		pedagogical sciences, the results have been proven on the basis of a pedagogical	patterns have been proven and confirmed by experimental research
		experiment):	and were published in high-ranking
		1) yes;	journals.
		2) no	Journals
		8.4 Important statements are <u>confirmed</u> / partially confirmed / not confirmed by	All important statements in articles
		references to current and reliable scientific literature	are confirmed by references to
			reliable scientific literature sources.
		8.5 Used literature sources are <u>sufficient/not</u> sufficient for a literature review	All articles have sufficient amount of
			used literature sources that provide comprehensive background
			information for each research.
9	Practical value	9.1 The thesis has theoretical value:	1) Yes, the theoretical value of this
	principle	1) yes;	work is that it provides crucial
		2) no	insights into Central Asia's air
			quality raise awareness about PM <sub>2.5</sub>
			pollution among the general public
			and governmental agencies in
			Central Asian countries, fostering
			cooperation and knowledge exchange to tackle the issue.
		9.2 The thesis is of practical importance and there is a high probability of	1) The results obtained in this
		applying the results obtained in practice:	dissertation have a high potential for
		1) <u>yes;</u>	application in forecasting and
		2) no	mitigating PM <sub>2.5</sub> pollution episodes.
		9.3 Are the practice suggestions new?	Practice suggestions regarding the
		1) completely new;	improvement of the current

		2) partially new (25-75% are new);	observational network and emission
		3) not new (less than 25% are new)	inventory methodology are new.
10.	The quality of	Academic writing quality:	The quality of academic writing is
	writing and	1) high;	high in every article. All the articles
	design	2) average;	are published in high-ranking
		3) below average;	journals and describe the topic of
		4) low.	dissertation well.

## I recommend:

1) to award the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) or Doctor of Specialization;

Copies of the reviews of the official reviewers are handed over to the doctoral student no later than 5 (five) working days before the defense of the thesis.

Parya Broomandi

## **Official Reviewer:**

Postdoctoral Research Fellow, Nazarbayev University

Parya Broomandi